

OUR NATION'S "WITCH HUNT"

(Continued from page 2)

Panama City Embassy as long ago as 1955 and captained Castro's guerilla assaults on interior Panamanian villages in 1959. He is an official of the Communist Party. SURPRISE, SURPRISE, SURPRISE.

Virginia Ramires, the chief of Castro's women's affiliate, Carlos Nunez, Cleto and Ruben Souza, Jorge Turner, Victor Avila, Cesar Carrasquilla of the science faculty at the University of Panama and other such renegades who played important parts in the Castro infiltration of Latin American universities, detailed in a series written a year ago by this writer, all showed up in the Jan. 10 assault.

Yet the State Department first told newsmen there were no immediate indications of a Castro or Communist tie-in and suggested analysts play down any Sino-Soviet involvement to avoid disturbing U. S.-Soviet relations. In the sacred name of the security of the United States, what's going on here?

SPY-SHOP CAN'T EVEN PHONE?

Month after month throughout 1962, published accounts gave chapter and verse on the Soviet missile build-up in Cuba—their location, the ships that carried them, the names of five Soviet generals in command, etc.—at the very time our government was violently denying any evidence of this until—suddenly—we had the Oct. 22 confrontation with Khruschev.

Relayed from Miami, newspaper office tickers recently rang with the rumor of Castro's assassination. The New York Times editors asked their Herbert Matthews to verify this. Matthews spoke by telephone directly with his contacts in the Havana hierarchy. They spiked it.

Yet, astoundingly enough (it certainly astounded the editors of The New York Times) several hours later the Central Intelligence Agency telephoned that newspaper saying our spy-shop was blacked out in the matter and could The Times give Washington any information on the assassination subject.

Writing on the spot from Saigon, able Richard Starnes knocked in the head Washington's alibi insisting that the Diem Regime represented our main difficulty in South Viet Nam. So did Pulitzer Prize Winner Marguerite Higgins. Now we see what we see in South Viet Nam, too.

Meanwhile, most currently, official Washington sources admit they had "no advance knowledge of Gen. Nguyen Khanh's Saigon coup," a well-planned exercise that within an hour-and-a-half jailed the Nov. 2 regime we recognized after its palace killings. This leaves us where in South Viet Nam along with the surprise backlash in Cambodia?

THE REDS HAVE TO BE INSIDE

Famous Arab expert Wendell Phillips, author-explorer, told Washington officials the Red score in Zanzibar months ago, as he likewise told me.

He knew the Castro-Sino-Soviet island hopping plans and also spelled out in detail what would happen in Tanganyika and elsewhere in East Africa. It has happened.

Nevertheless, the State Department calls all this also a surprise.

Now, this isn't a sand-lot ball game we're playing in around the world, repeatedly getting tagged out at first and falling flat on our face. Our entire future is at stake. And the incredible patter of official misinformation and surprises and the endless denials of the undeniable would be utterly impossible unless the Soviet had infiltrated our government, as proven in numerous other Western countries.

"Common Sense"

When bad men combine, the good must associate, else they will fall, one by one an unpitied sacrifice in a contemptible struggle.

—Edmund Burke

Old soldiers never die . . .



General Douglas MacArthur

"I could have won the war in Korea in a maximum of 10 days, with considerably few casualties . . ."

General of the Army, Douglas MacArthur, hero of three wars, our greatest contemporary military figure, has passed away.

Certainly General MacArthur whose rallying cry was "there is no substitute for victory" will never die in the hearts of his fellow patriots.

It was said of George Washington, that he was: "first in war, first in peace, and first in the hearts of his countrymen." The same could be said of MacArthur. He was no less a statesman than a general. The superb accomplishment of the new government he set up in Japan is a striking example. It is rare indeed when a conquered nation will mourn the loss of a former enemy general, as the Japanese government did at the news of MacArthur's passing. Similar sentiments were echoed by the South Korean and Philippine Governments. He vowed he would return to the Philippines, and did.

General MacArthur in his own words was "betrayed by fools" in the Korean War. Ten years before his death, MacArthur reported a few historical facts to Jim Lucas, his comrade-in-arms who won a Pulitzer Prize for his coverage of the Korean War. According to Jim Lucas, MacArthur had the communists in the "palm of his hand" on several occasions during the Korean War and could have crushed them but was prevented from doing so "by the perfidy of the British and by constant harassment and interference from Washington." Every message MacArthur sent to Washington and every message Washington sent to him was shown to the British by our State Department and within 48 hours was relayed by the British to the Chinese communists so that they knew in advance every step he proposed to take. The Chinese communists decided to come into the Korean War only "after being assured by the British that MacArthur would be hamstrung and could not effectively oppose them." MacArthur referred to "those fools in Washington" who prevented him from winning the Korean War.

General Douglas MacArthur was betrayed by his own government and by the British. More than 33,000 American casualties! What treachery to be betrayed by one's own government! What power the invisible government must have to be able to direct a conspiracy of this magnitude! What one group has the power to direct stooges such as Presidents Truman and Eisenhower, Dean Acheson, such as Generals George Marshall, Maxwell Taylor, and Matthew Ridgway? This should clearly indicate who runs our State Department and our Defense Department, in spite of stooges at the helm.

MacArthur can no longer warn the American people, but we urge each and every patriot to obtain a copy of MacArthur's 1954 secret interview with Jim Lucas and Bob Considine reported in Scripps-Howard Newspapers after his death. Read it carefully and ponder what is in store for America as long as traitors direct our government.

ONE PERSON, ONE VOTE

—Supreme Court Decision

By Wm. T. Bodenhamer

The Supreme Court of the United States has proclaimed the political edict, "one person, one vote," for the several States of the American Union. Possibly, by coincidence, the Court struck down Article II, Section 2 and Amendments 12 and 23 of the Federal Constitution which provide for Electors in choosing a president and a vice president for the USA.

It is to be expected that the people who worked for this Supreme Court edict will work now for a fair basis of representation in the United Nations.

When one considers that Iceland has 1,043 times the per capita voting strength of the USA in the General Assembly of the UN, surely he will agree that there is disparity of representation in the "super world government." If he is one who applauds the Supreme Court edict, "one person, one vote," then he should work for the USA to have representation in the UN equal to that of Iceland. If Iceland, with 179 thousand people, is entitled to one vote in the UN, then the USA with 186 million people is entitled to 1,043 votes. It is as simple as that. An elementary school student can work this out in his arithmetic class.

Also, it is well to consider the fact that the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics has three votes in the UN to only one vote for the United States of America. The three Russian votes represent one vote each for three of the Russian "Republics." There are fifteen Russian "Republics" voting in the UN are: Russian S.F.S.R., Ukrainian S.S.R., and Byelorussian S.S.R.

As of this date the other twelve Russian "Republics" have not been given votes in the UN. Incidentally, Uzbek S.S.R. and Kazakh S.S.R. each has more people than Byelorussian S.S.R. Perhaps these two will be the next Russian "Republics" to be given votes in the UN.

The total population of the fifteen Russian "Republics" is 209 million while the total population of the fifty States of the American Union is 186 million. Thus, on a per capita basis, Russia can outvote the USA over two and one-half to one. In other words, it takes over two and one-half Americans to equal one Russian in the UN. No doubt, this fact has been overlooked by those who insist we can coexist with Russia in the UN.

Now, in addition, there are eight other Communist countries with one vote each in the UN. They are: Albania, Bulgaria, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, Rumania and Yugoslavia. This gives eight additional votes to the Communist bloc in the UN. (There may be others.) These eight Communist countries with only 107 million people have a voting strength of over 14 to 1 the voting strength of the USA in the UN. So, it takes over 14 Americans to match the voice of one person from these Communist countries in the "super world government."

There is another significant fact. There are twenty-nine (29) African jungle nations with one vote each in the UN whose total population is only 152 million. By comparing this population count with the 186 million people in the USA, it is evident that the African jungles can outvote us over 33 to 1 in the UN. This means that it takes over 33 Americans to equal one African savage in international politics.

The disparities noted here give just one of the many good reasons to get the USA out of the UN and the UN out of the USA.

"Political power, if surrendered, will surely be used to close the door of advancement and to fix new disabilities and burdens until all of liberty shall be lost."

—Abraham Lincoln, 1861